

MASTER M2 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND P.D.E.s
UNIVERSITE PARIS 6 - ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE
Course of G. Allaire, "Homogenization"
January 13th, 2011 (3 hours)

The evaluation procedure will pay attention to the quality of the dissertation and most particularly to the clarity and readability in the proposed argumentation. As usual the subscript # denotes spaces of periodic functions. Throughout the problem C denotes a positive constant which does not depend on ϵ .

The goal of this problem is to study a model of reactive transport in porous media. We denote by Ω a smooth bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N which represents a porous medium. The domain Ω is tiled by a square periodic tiling of size ϵ . The cubes of this tiling $(Y_p^\epsilon)_{1 \leq p \leq n(\epsilon)}$, with $n(\epsilon) \approx |\Omega| \epsilon^{-N}$, are all equal, up to a translation, to $[0, \epsilon]^N$. Thus, after translation each cube is homothetic of ratio ϵ to the unit cell $Y = [0, 1]^N$ which is decomposed in a fluid part Y_f and a solid part Y_s , separated by an interface Γ , with $Y = Y_f \cup Y_s$. Using the same notation in each cube, $Y_p^\epsilon = Y_{f,p}^\epsilon \cup Y_{s,p}^\epsilon$, the fluid part of the porous medium Ω_ϵ (assumed to be smooth and connected) is defined by

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \Omega \setminus \left(\bigcup_{p=1}^{n(\epsilon)} Y_{s,p}^\epsilon \right).$$

The interface Γ_ϵ between the fluid and solid parts of the porous medium is defined by

$$\Gamma_\epsilon = \partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial\Omega.$$

The fluid part Ω_ϵ (as indicated by its name) is filled with an incompressible fluid with a given velocity $b\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$ where $b(y) \in C_{\#}^1(Y_f)^N$ is a smooth periodic vector field satisfying

$$\operatorname{div}_y b(y) = 0 \text{ in } Y_f, \quad b(y) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

The molecular diffusion tensor in the fluid is $A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$ where $A(y) \in L_{\#}^\infty(Y_f)^{N \times N}$ is a periodic coercive symmetric matrix satisfying, for $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$,

$$\alpha|\xi|^2 \leq A(y)\xi \cdot \xi \leq \beta|\xi|^2, \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, y \in Y_f.$$

A chemical species is dissolved in the fluid and can react with the solid walls by absorption/desorption. Its concentration in the fluid is denoted by $u_\epsilon(t, x)$ while its concentration on the fluid/solid interface is denoted by $v_\epsilon(t, x)$. The initial concentrations are $u_{in}(x)$ and $v_{in}(x)$, respectively, which belong to $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Denoting by $k > 0$ and $K > 0$ two positive chemical constants and by n the unit exterior normal to Ω_ϵ , the model is a system of evolution equations for these concentrations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial t} + b\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \nabla u_\epsilon - \operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_\epsilon\right) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\epsilon \times (0, T), \\ -A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_\epsilon \cdot n = \epsilon \frac{\partial v_\epsilon}{\partial t} & \text{on } \Gamma_\epsilon \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial v_\epsilon}{\partial t} = \frac{k}{\epsilon^2} \left(u_\epsilon - \frac{v_\epsilon}{K}\right) & \text{on } \Gamma_\epsilon \times (0, T), \\ u_\epsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u_\epsilon(x, 0) = u_{in}(x) & \text{in } \Omega_\epsilon, \\ v_\epsilon(x, 0) = v_{in}(x) & \text{on } \Gamma_\epsilon. \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

In system (1) the second line is a boundary condition expressing the conservation of mass of the species at the fluid/solid interface, while the third line is an ordinary differential equation governing the evolution of the concentration on the solid walls.

Part I

In this part the method of formal two-scale asymptotic expansions is applied in order to find the homogenized problem for (1). It is thus assumed that the solution (u_ϵ, v_ϵ) can be written as a series

$$u_\epsilon(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^i u_i(t, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}), \quad v_\epsilon(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^i v_i(t, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$$

with $u_i(t, x, y)$ and $v_i(t, x, y)$ Y -periodic functions with respect to the variable $y \in Y$.

1. Write the equations in the cell Y_f and the boundary conditions on the solid wall Γ satisfied by u_0, v_0, u_1, v_1 , and u_2, v_2 . Show in particular that each v_i , for $0 \leq i \leq 2$, can be explicitly computed in terms of the u_j 's with $0 \leq j \leq i$.
2. Let $g(y) \in L^2_{\#}(Y_f)$ and $h(y) \in L^2(\Gamma)$. Prove that the following problem admits a unique solution in $H^1_{\#}(Y_f)/\mathbb{R}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\operatorname{div}_y(A(y)\nabla_y w) = g & \text{in } Y_f \\ A(y)\nabla_y w \cdot n = h & \text{on } \Gamma \\ y \rightarrow w(y) \text{ } Y\text{-periodic} & \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

if and only if the data satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\int_{Y_f} g(y) dy + \int_{\Gamma} h(y) ds = 0.$$

3. Deduce that $u_0(t, x, y)$ does not depend on y , and that $u_1(t, x, y)$ can be written in terms of the gradient of u_0 multiplied by solutions of a family of cell problems which should precisely be defined.
4. Write the necessary and sufficient compatibility condition for solving for $u_2(t, x, y)$. Deduce from it the homogenized equation as well as the boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$ (it is not required to find the initial condition).

Part II

This part is devoted to the proof of a priori estimates for (1). We denote by V_ϵ the subspace of $H^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$ made of functions vanishing on $\partial\Omega$. In the sequel we shall assume that there exists a linear continuous extension operator from V_ϵ into $H_0^1(\Omega)$, denoted by X_ϵ such that, for any $\phi \in V_\epsilon$

$$X_\epsilon\phi = \phi \text{ in } \Omega_\epsilon, \text{ and } \|\nabla(X_\epsilon\phi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N} \leq C\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)^N}.$$

By a slight abuse of notations any function ϕ will be identified with its extension $X_\epsilon\phi$.

1. Show that (1) admits the variational formulation

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial t} \phi \, dx + \frac{\epsilon}{K} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} \frac{\partial v_\epsilon}{\partial t} \psi \, ds + a((u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon), (\phi, \psi)) = 0 \quad (3)$$

for any test function $(\phi, \psi) \in L^2((0, T); V_\epsilon) \times L^2((0, T) \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$, with the bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} a((u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon), (\phi, \psi)) &= \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \left(b\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \nabla u_\epsilon \phi + A\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \phi \right) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{k}{\epsilon} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} \left(u_\epsilon - \frac{v_\epsilon}{K} \right) \left(\phi - \frac{\psi}{K} \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

2. Prove that, for a fixed ϵ , the bilinear form (4), integrated in time from 0 to T , is coercive on the space $L^2((0, T); V_\epsilon) \times L^2((0, T) \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$. We shall assume that it is enough to prove that (1) admits a unique solution (u_ϵ, v_ϵ) in $\{L^2((0, T); V_\epsilon) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega_\epsilon))\} \times C([0, T]; L^2(\Gamma_\epsilon))$.
3. Prove the existence of $C > 0$ such that, for any $w \in V_\epsilon$,

$$\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)} \leq C(\epsilon\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)} + \sqrt{\epsilon}\|w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\epsilon)}),$$

and

$$\sqrt{\epsilon}\|w\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\epsilon)} \leq C(\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)} + \epsilon\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)}).$$

4. Integrating in time (3) with $(\phi, \psi) = (u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon)$, prove the existence of $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^2(\Omega_\epsilon))} + \sqrt{\epsilon}\|v_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^2(\Gamma_\epsilon))} + \|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega_\epsilon)} \\ & + \sqrt{\epsilon}\|\epsilon^{-1}(u_\epsilon - \frac{v_\epsilon}{K})\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Gamma_\epsilon)} \leq C (\|u_{in}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_{in}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

5. Assuming u_ϵ to be given, write explicitly the solution v_ϵ of the ordinary differential equation on the third line of (1). Deduce from this formula, since $v_{in} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_\epsilon \in L^2((0, T); V_\epsilon)$, that v_ϵ has a natural extension in $L^2((0, T); V_\epsilon)$ which satisfies

$$\|v_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega_\epsilon)} \leq C (\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^2(\Omega_\epsilon))} + \epsilon\|v_{in}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}),$$

and

$$\epsilon\|\nabla v_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega_\epsilon)} \leq C (\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega_\epsilon)} + \epsilon\|v_{in}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}).$$

Hint: rely on the fact that $\int_0^t \epsilon^{-2} e^{\frac{2k(s-t)}{K\epsilon^2}} ds \leq C$.

Part III

In this part a rigorous convergence theorem is proved by using the method of two-scale convergence. In the present unsteady context we recall the main results of two-scale convergence: for any sequence $z_\epsilon(t, x)$, uniformly bounded in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega_\epsilon)$, there exist a subsequence ϵ and a limit $z_0(t, x, y) \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega \times Y_f)$ such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} z_\epsilon(t, x) \phi\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dt dx = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_{Y_f} z_0(t, x, y) \phi(t, x, y) dt dx dy$$

for any smooth test function $\phi(t, x, y)$ which is Y -periodic in y . We also recall the notion of two-scale convergence for sequences of functions defined on the boundary Γ_ϵ : let $\zeta_\epsilon(t, x)$ be a sequence satisfying

$$\sqrt{\epsilon}\|\zeta_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Gamma_\epsilon)} \leq C,$$

there exist a subsequence ϵ and a limit $\zeta_0(t, x, y) \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega \times \Gamma)$ such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} \zeta_\epsilon(t, x) \phi\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dt dx = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_\Gamma \zeta_0(t, x, y) \phi(t, x, y) dt dx dy$$

for any smooth test function $\phi(t, x, y)$ which is Y -periodic in y .

1. Recall the results of the course on the structure of the two-scale limits of a sequence z_ϵ and its gradient when z_ϵ is uniformly bounded in $L^2((0, T); V_\epsilon)$. Same question for a sequence ζ_ϵ such that

$$\|\zeta_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \Omega_\epsilon)} + \epsilon \|\nabla \zeta_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \Omega_\epsilon)} + \sqrt{\epsilon} \|\zeta_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \Gamma_\epsilon)} \leq C.$$

In each case one should make the connection between the limits obtained by the two types of convergence defined above.

2. Deduce from (5) (and more precisely from the estimate on $\epsilon^{-1}(u_\epsilon - \frac{v_\epsilon}{K})$) that the two-scale limits of u_ϵ and v_ϵ coincide on Γ .
3. Multiplying the equation for u_ϵ in (1) by a test function $\phi(t, x) + \epsilon \phi_1(t, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$, find the two-scale homogenized problem (under its variational form).
4. Deduce from the previous question the cell problem and show that it admits a unique solution in a functional space independent of time. Deduce the homogenized problem as well, the initial condition of which has to be clearly recovered. Prove that the homogenized problem is well-posed, i.e., indicate why the homogenized diffusion tensor is coercive. What can be said on the convergence of the entire sequence ?