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## Course Overview

| Date |  | Topic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fri, 18.9.2015 | DB | Introduction and Greedy Algorithms |
| Fri, 25.9.2015 | DB | Dynamic programming and Branch and Bound |
| Fri, 2.10.2015 | DB | Approximation Algorithms and Heuristics |
| Fri, 9.10.2015 | AA | Introduction to Continuous Optimization |
| Fri, 16.10.2015 | AA | End of Intro to Cont. Opt. + Gradient-Based Algorithms I |
|  |  |  |
| Fri, 30.10.2015 | AA | Gradient-Based Algorithms II |
| Fri, 6.11.2015 | AA | Stochastic Algorithms and Derivative-free Optimization |
| $16-20.11 .2015$ |  |  |

## all classes + exam are from 14h till 17h15 (incl. a 15min break) here in PUIO-D101/D103

## Further Details on Remaining Lectures

## Introduction to Continuous Optimization

- examples (from ML / black-box problems)
- typical difficulties in optimization


## Mathematical Tools to Characterize Optima

- reminders about differentiability, gradient, Hessian matrix
- unconstraint optimization
- first and second order conditions
- convexity
- constraint optimization


## Gradient-based Algorithms

- quasi-Newton method (BFGS)
- DFO trust-region method

Learning in Optimization / Stochastic Optimization

- CMA-ES (adaptive algorithms / Information Geometry)
- PhD thesis possible on this topic
method strongly related to ML / new promising research area interesting open questions


## First Example of a Continuous Optimization Problem

Computer simulation teaches itself to walk upright (virtual robots (of different shapes) learning to walk, through stochastic optimization (CMA-ES)), by Utrecht University:

We present a control system based on 3D muscle actuation


## https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yci5Ful1ovk

T. Geitjtenbeek, M. Van de Panne, F. Van der Stappen: "Flexible Muscle-Based Locomotion for Bipedal Creatures", SIGGRAPH Asia, 2013.

## Continuous Optimization

- Optimize $f:\left\{\begin{array}{c}\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\end{array}\right.$


## unconstrained optimization

- Search space is continuous, i.e. composed of real vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
- $n=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { dimension of the problem } \\ \text { dimension of the search space } \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { (as vector space) }\end{array}\right.$


2-D level sets


## Unconstrained vs. Constrained Optimization

## Unconstrained optimization

$$
\inf \left\{f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}
$$

## Constrained optimization

- Equality constraints: $\inf \left\{f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, g_{k}(x)=0,1 \leq k \leq p\right\}$
- Inequality constraints: $\inf \left\{f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, g_{k}(x) \leq 0,1 \leq k \leq p\right\}$
where always $g_{k}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$


## Example of a Constraint

$\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x)=x^{2}$ such that $x \leq-1$


## Analytical Functions

## Example: 1-D

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}(x)=a\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+b \\
\text { where } x, x_{0}, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Generalization:

convex quadratic function

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{2}(x)=\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{T} A\left(x-x_{0}\right)+b \\
\text { where } x, x_{0}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{\{n \times n\}} \\
\text { and } A \text { symmetric positive definite (SPD) }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Exercise: <br> What is the minimum of $f_{2}(x)$ ?

## Levels Sets of Convex Quadratic Functions

## Continuation of exercise:

 What are the level sets of $f_{2}$ ?Reminder: level sets of a function

$$
L_{c}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid f(x)=c\right\}
$$

(similar to topography lines / level sets on a map)


## Levels Sets of Convex Quadratic Functions

## Continuation of exercise:

What are the level sets of $f_{2}$ ?

- Probably too complicated in general, thus an example here
- Consider $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}9 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), b=0, n=2$
a) Compute $f_{2}(x)$.
b) Plot the level sets of $f_{2}(x)$.
c) More generally, for $n=2$, if $A$ is SPD with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}=$ 9 and $\lambda_{2}=1$, what are the level sets of $f_{2}(x)$ ?


## Data Fitting - Data Calibration

## Objective

- Given a sequence of data points $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}, i=1, \ldots, N$, find a model " $y=f(\boldsymbol{x})$ " that explains the data
experimental measurements in biology, chemistry, ...
- In general, choice of a parametric model or family of functions $\left(f_{\theta}\right)_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}$
use of expertise for choosing model or simple models only affordable (linear, quadratic)
- Try to find the parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ fitting best to the data

Fitting best to the data
Minimize the quadratic error:

$$
\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|f_{\theta}\left(x_{i}\right)-y_{i}\right|^{2}
$$

## Optimization and Machine Learning: Lin. Regression

## Supervised Learning:

Predict $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ from $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}$, given a set of observations (examples) $\left\{y_{i}, x_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, N}$
(Simple) Linear regression
Given a set of data: $\{y_{i}, \underbrace{x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{p}}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T}}\}_{i=1 \ldots N}$

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}+\beta-y_{i}\right|^{2}}_{\|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{w}}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2} \quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times(p+1)}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{w}} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}}
$$

same as data fitting with linear model, i.e. $f_{(w, \beta)}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}+\beta$,

$$
\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}
$$

## Optimization and Machine Learning: Regression

## Regression

- Data: $N$ observations $\left\{y_{i}, x_{i}\right\} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}$
- $\Phi\left(x_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ features of $x_{i}$
- prediction as a linear function of the feature $\hat{y}=\langle\theta, \Phi(x)\rangle$
- empirical risk minimization: find $\hat{\theta}$ solution of

$$
\min _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I\left(y_{i},\left\langle\theta, \Phi\left(x_{i}\right)\right\rangle\right)
$$

where $I$ is a loss function [example: quadratic loss $I(y, \hat{y})=$ $1 / 2(y-\hat{y})^{2}$ ]

## A Real-World Problem in Petroleum Engineering

## Well Placement Problem



## What Makes a Function Difficult to Solve?

- dimensionality
(considerably) larger than three
- non-separability dependencies between the objective variables
- ill-conditioning
- ruggedness

non-smooth, discontinuous, multimodal, and/or noisy function


## Curse of Dimensionality

- The term Curse of dimensionality (Richard Bellman) refers to problems caused by the rapid increase in volume associated with adding extra dimensions to a (mathematical) space.
- Example: Consider placing 100 points onto a real interval, say [ 0,1$]$. To get similar coverage, in terms of distance between adjacent points, of the 10 -dimensional space $[0,1]^{10}$ would require $100^{10}=10^{20}$ points. The original 100 points appear now as isolated points in a vast empty space.
- Consequently, a search policy (e.g. exhaustive search) that is valuable in small dimensions might be useless in moderate or large dimensional search spaces.


## Separable Problems

## Definition (Separable Problem)

A function $f$ is separable if

$$
\underset{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{argmin}} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\underset{x_{1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots\right), \ldots, \underset{x_{n}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f\left(\ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

$\Rightarrow$ it follows that $f$ can be optimized in a sequence of $n$ independent 1-D optimization processes

## Example:

Additively decomposable functions

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \text { Rastrigin function }}}^{n} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$



## Non-Separable Problems

## Building a non-separable problem from a separable one [1,2]

## Rotating the coordinate system

- $f: x \mapsto f(x)$ separable
- $f: \boldsymbol{x} \mapsto f(R \boldsymbol{x})$ non-separable


## $R$ rotation matrix


[1] N. Hansen, A. Ostermeier, A. Gawelczyk (1995). "On the adaptation of arbitrary normal mutation distributions in evolution strategies: The generating set adaptation". Sixth ICGA, pp. 57-64, Morgan Kaufmann
[2] R. Salomon (1996). "Reevaluating Genetic Algorithm Performance under Coordinate Rotation of Benchmark Functions; A survey of some theoretical and practical aspects of genetic algorithms." BioSystems, 39(3):263-278

## III-Conditioned Problems: Curvature of Level Sets

Consider the convex-quadratic function

$$
f(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right)^{T} H\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} h_{i, i} x_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} h_{i, j} x_{i} x_{j}
$$

H is Hessian matrix of $f$ and symmetric positive definite


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { gradient direction }-f^{\prime}(x)^{T} \\
& \text { Newton direction }-H^{-1} f^{\prime}(x)^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

III-conditioning means squeezed level sets (high curvature). Condition number equals nine here. Condition numbers up to $10^{10}$ are not unusual in real-world problems.

If $H \approx I$ (small condition number of $H$ ) first order information (e.g. the gradient) is sufficient. Otherwise second order information (estimation of $H^{-1}$ ) information necessary.

## Different Notions of Optimum

## Unconstrained case

- local vs. global
- local minimum $x^{*}$ : $\exists$ a neighborhood $V$ of $\boldsymbol{x}^{*}$ such that $\forall x \in \mathrm{~V}: f(\boldsymbol{x}) \geq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right)$
- global minimum: $\forall x \in \Omega: f(x) \geq f\left(x^{*}\right)$
- strict local minimum if the inequality is strict


## Further Details on Remaining Lectures

## Introduction to Continuous Optimization

- examples (from ML / black-box problems)
- typical difficulties in optimization


## Mathematical Tools to Characterize Optima

- reminders about differentiability, gradient, Hessian matrix
- unconstraint optimization
- first and second order conditions
- convexity
- constraint optimization


## Gradient-based Algorithms

- quasi-Newton method (BFGS)
- DFO trust-region method

Learning in Optimization / Stochastic Optimization

- CMA-ES (adaptive algorithms / Information Geometry)
- PhD thesis possible on this topic
method strongly related to ML / new promising research area interesting open questions


## Mathematical Characterization of Optima

Objective: Derive general characterization of optima

> Example: if $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ derivable, $$
f^{\prime}(x)=0 \text { at optimal points }
$$



- generalization to $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ?
- generalization to constrained problems?

Remark: notion of optimum independent of notion of derivability

optima of such function can be easily approached by certain type of methods

## A Few Reminders...

- ( $E,\| \|)$ will be a $K$-general vector space endowed with a norm \| \| and a corpus $K$.
- If not familiar with this notion, think about $E=\mathbb{R}^{n}, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, K=\mathbb{R}$, and $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}=\sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}}$


## Linear Mapping:

- $u: E \rightarrow E$ is a linear mapping if $u(\lambda x+\mu y)=\lambda u(x)+\mu u(y)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in K$ and for all $x, y \in E$


## Exercise:

Let $E=\mathbb{R}^{n}, K=\mathbb{R}$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \mathrm{n}}$ be a matrix.
Show that $x \mapsto A x$ is a linear mapping.

